A preliminary study of the effect of room temperature incubation on phylogenetic composition of salivary microbiota

Abstract

Objective

Human oral microbiome research has revealed that differences in microbial communities may lead to not only oral disease but also systemic conditions. Although large-scale cohort studies are needed to further investigate these relationships, little is known about the storage method of salivary samples collected in the clinical field. In this study, the temporal stability of salivary microbiota at room temperature was investigated to support future cohort studies of the oral microbiome.

Materials and methods

Three salivary samples collected from 2 healthy adults were incubated at 20°C for 3 or 6 h, and the bacterial composition was then analyzed using next-generation sequencing of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene.

Results

Although slight changes in the microbial profiles were observed in the samples incubated for 6 h, principal coordinate analysis revealed significant clustering based on the origin of the salivary samples. In contrast, several increased or decreased operational taxonomic units were also detected under this storage condition.

Conclusion

Within the limitation of the sample size in this preliminary study, it can be stated that room temperature storage of salivary samples for a few hours may not affect the overall microbiota structure, while there are some changes in specific bacterial taxa.
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Introduction

Many bacteria indigenously inhabit the human body surface and digestive tract and maintain symbiotic relationships with humans. For example, in the intestine, in which the largest number of indigenous bacteria is present, there are about 38 trillion bacteria, comprised of at least 160 species that maintain a symbiotic balance. It has been suggested that an abnormal composition ratio (dysbiosis) of these intestinal bacteria is associated with obesity, type 2 diabetes, autism and allergic disease, in addition to the induction of inflammatory disease.3, 4

The oral cavity is also a niche for indigenous bacterial inhabitation, in which 5–10 billion indigenous bacteria, comprised of about 200 species, are present in adults, and the species and ratio of bacteria constituting it markedly vary among individuals, similar to intestinal indigenous bacteria.5 In addition, some oral flora–constituting bacteria have been determined to be not only involved in oral diseases, such as dental caries, periodontal disease and periimplantitis, but also closely affecting the systemic health state, such as the blood pressure, in addition to health of the oral cavity.5, 6

Marked progression of the nucleotide sequencing technique has markedly contributed to the recent, rapid accumulation of knowledge concerning the relationship between bacterial floras and the state of health described. The previous mainstream of sequencing, the Sanger method, takes time and is labor- and cost-intensive for analysis, and the resolution of the obtained data is not very high.7 Several next-generation sequencers have recently been developed and enabled the acquisition of an enormous amount of base sequence information within a relatively short time, facilitating relatively simple identification of the constitution of oral bacteria at a high analytical resolution, which was previously difficult.8 Large-scale analysis of oral floras and the development of test and treatment methods targeting oral bacteria are expected, but the procedures have not yet been standardized in some steps because these are novel analytical methods. Firstly, the method to extract DNA from oral samples has not been standardized. This is one of the most important steps of flora analysis using a next-generation sequencer, and biases in the flora data depending on the extraction method used have been reported.9–12 To solve this problem, it is essential to employ the same DNA extraction method when comparing samples of flora. Another step that has not been standardized is the method to store collected samples, for which less is known. When it is not possible to extract nucleic acid immediately after sample collection, freezing of the sample at −80°C or lower is the optimal storage method,10, 13–15 but sample storage by rapid freezing is not always possible at clinical sites and in cohort studies. Several effective methods other than deep-freezing, such as the addition of ethanol to samples, have been investigated in the research field of intestinal flora,14 but to our knowledge, no study has been reported for oral samples other than an experiment on storage for several days using a special medium.15

The aim of the present study was to analyze and evaluate changes in floras in oral samples during storage at room temperature for several hours, aiming at securing the reliability of data collected in clinical and cohort studies on the oral flora, which are expected to be frequently performed in the future.

Materials and methods

Salivary sample collection and storage conditions

In order to investigate the storage reliability of salivary samples at room temperature, fresh saliva was incubated at 20°C, and the temporal stability of microbiota composition was monitored for 3 or 6 h. Two samples were collected from the same subject 1 week apart. In our preliminary study, in total 3 salivary samples prepared from 2 healthy individuals were used. DNA from 9 salivary aliquots was successfully extracted, amplified and sequenced.

The study protocol was approved by the Osaka Dental University Medical Ethics Committee (approval No. 110854), and the 2 subjects signed informed consent forms. The inclusion criterion was age > 20 years. Exclusion criteria were as follows: self-reported presence of periodontitis or dental caries, current daily smoking, and treatment with local or systemic antibiotics within the past 3 months prior to participation. The primary outcome was within-sample change in salivary microbiota composition (assessed by principal coordinate analysis) during storage at room temperature for several hours.
Subjects refrained from eating and drinking for at least 1 h before saliva collection. Three milliliters of saliva was collected in sterile plastic tubes and then homogenized by repetitive pipetting through a narrow bone tip. Aliquots (0.5 mL) of samples were dispensed into 3 sterile tubes, and 1 aliquot was then immediately centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 5 min. The remaining 2 aliquots were incubated at 20°C for 3 and 6 h, respectively, before centrifugation. Next, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was stored at -20°C. DNA extraction was carried out within 1 week.

**DNA extraction from salivary samples**

Bacterial DNA was extracted from 9 salivary samples via chemical and mechanical lysis using a QIAamp UCP Pathogen Mini Kit (Qiagen). The thawed pellets were immediately suspended in 500 μL of ATL buffer containing optional DX reagent, transferred to a Pathogen Lysis Tube S (Qiagen), and then homogenized with Mixer Mill MM 301 (Retsch) for 3 min at a vibrational frequency of 30 Hz. The manufacturer’s protocol was followed thereafter to complete the DNA purification, and DNA was eluted with 50 μL of AVE buffer. After recovery, DNA was also quantified using a Quantus fluorometer (Promega) and a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and stored at ~80°C until use. Data collectors were blinded to subject identification.

**Library construction and Illumina MiSeq sequencing**

Bacterial 16S ribosomal DNA amplification and library construction were performed according to the 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation guide supplied by Illumina (part No. 15044223_B). Briefly, the V3–V4 region of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene was amplified via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using 341f/806r primers and Premix Ex Taq polymerase (Takara Bio). The PCR amplicons were purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Sequencing adapters containing 8 bp indices were incorporated into the 3′ and 5′ ends of the purified amplicons by PCR. The PCR amplicons were again purified with AMPure XP beads and quantified using a Quantafluorometer and a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies). After pooling equimolar amounts of amplicons, 5% equimolar of PhiX DNA (Illumina) was added, and the final library was paired-end sequenced at 2 × 250 bp using a MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 on the Illumina MiSeq platform. Sequencing was performed at the Oral Microbiome Center in Takamatsu, Japan.

**Data analysis**

The sequences were analyzed with CLC Workbench software (Version 10.0.1; Filgen) equipped with the Microbial Genomics Module plugin (Version 2.0; Filgen). Quality and chimera crossover filtering were performed using default parameters. Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering and taxonomic assignment were carried out using the Human Oral Microbiome Database (Version 14.51) as reference (clustered at 97%). Low-abundance OTUs were discarded from further analyses (less than 10 reads, less than 0.01% relative abundance). Alpha diversity was calculated using the number of OTUs. Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was performed on the weighted UniFrac matrix, as indicated by the significance of clustering determined by PERMANOVA with 9,999 permutations. PCoA plots were generated by the R package (Version 1.0.50).
Results

Microbiota analysis of salivary samples

The Illumina MiSeq was performed using 9 independent samples to generate a total of 791,888 raw sequence reads (Table 1). After passing the quality filter, the number of mapped sequence reads ranged from 45,973 to 55,908 (mean ± standard error of the mean of 50,019 ± 1,160; Table 1).

Stability of the microbiota at room temperature

Figures 1 and 2 present the top 10 bacterial groups at the genus and species levels, respectively. The results of all samples revealed that the top 10 bacterial genera constitute approximately 80–90% of each sample. The most predominant members of the community belonged to the bacterial genus Neisseria in volunteer A (20–35%) and Prevotella in volunteer B (25–30%). In addition, Haemophilus, Porphyromonas and Fusobacterium were detected predominantly in volunteer A, whereas TM7 [G-1] was more predominant in volunteer B. The proportion of genus Rothia varied between sampling times in volunteer A. Although the composition of the top 10 bacterial genera was slightly fractured in all lineages for 6 h, the relative changes in each were within approximately 5%. No apparent time-dependent change in microbiota was detected in the top 10 bacterial OTUs.

Effects of room temperature storage on microbial beta diversity

Results from rarefaction curves indicated that a sufficient number of reads was obtained for 16S rRNA analyses (Fig. 3). The PCoA plot based on the weighted UniFrac (beta diversity) metric revealed that the 9 samples clustered into 3 groups corresponding to each lineage (Fig. 4), and the difference was confirmed statistically by PERMANOVA (P < 0.01). In addition, the 2 lineage groups collected from volunteer A closely opposed each other on the PCoA plot. These results demonstrated that no overall change in community composition was observed in this experimental condition (20°C, 6 h).

Change in salivary microbiota at the OTU levels

Bacterial shifts in salivary microbiota at the OTU levels associated with incubation at room temperature (20°C) were investigated and visualized as heat maps (Fig. 5). In sample 1 of volunteer A, 2 types of Porphyromonas pasteri were regarded as having increased OTUs at a 0.5 cutoff over the
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In contrast, *Granulicatella adiacens*, *Streptococcus sanguinis* and *Streptococcus* sp. oral taxon 057 were decreased in the same sample. In sample 2 of volunteer A, although no OTUs were observed to have increased, *Granulicatella adiacens* and *Selenomonas* sp. were decreased. In the sample from volunteer B, *Actinomyces graevenitzii*, *Prevotella* sp. and *Porphyromonas pasteri* were increased, and *Atopobium parvulum*, *Megasphaera micronuciformis* and *Selenomonas* sp. were decreased. These results suggest that there are changes in specific bacterial taxa during room temperature storage for a few hours.

Discussion

The relationship between the indigenous bacterial flora and human health has been attracting attention, and several large-scale cohort studies are being performed, in which the duration and method of sample storage from sample collection to analysis is an issue. For fecal and vaginal microorganism-derived samples, several studies evaluating the degree of storage-induced changes in the flora have been performed, but no study evaluating the influence of storage conditions on oral samples has been performed. A study comparing media for oral sample storage has been reported, but it only investigated the influence of room temperature storage for several days, and to our knowledge, no study has investigated changes over a several-hour period. In the present study, we investigated the storage conditions after salivary sample collection, aiming at securing the reliability of data collected in clinical and cohort studies on the oral flora, which are expected to be frequently performed in the future.

Regarding the study design, collected saliva was kept at around room temperature (20°C) for a specified time and changes in the bacterial flora in the sample were analyzed in DNA extracted from the sample after 3 and 6 h. More than 70,000 reads could be obtained from all 9 samples collected, and at least 45,000 reads passed through the filter. This number of reads is sufficient compared with those in other analyses.

When time course changes in the flora were subjected to PCoA, slight changes within each sample were noted under the conditions of this study, but the characteristics of the floras observed at the time of sampling did not markedly change (Fig. 4). In the salivary samples collected with a 1-week interval from the same subject, the composition of the flora was similar, but the ratios appeared to have changed (Figs. 1 & 2). Regarding the individual OTU in recent analyses, it has been clarified that several specific OTUs markedly changed when the samples were stored at room temperature. When changes were closely investigated by OTU, *Porphyromonas pasteri* increased in 2 of the 3 samples, whereas *Selenomonas* sp. oral taxon 136 and *Granulicatella adiacens* decreased (Fig. 5), showing that OTUs termed “bloom,” which alter during storage at room temperature, are very likely to be present in oral samples, similar to fecal samples. It is desirable to freeze samples as soon as possible when they are...
collected from the oral cavity of patients with periodontal disease and periimplantitis lesions in cohort and clinical sites. It is also important to know the species of OTUs that change during storage at room temperature to prepare for conditions in which such storage is difficult, in order to maintain the resolution of flora analysis data. This is a preliminary study and there are some limitations. First, the sample size was small. A large number of patients may be more likely to elucidate the temporal stability of salivary microbiota. Second, the observation was based on experimentation at only 1 temperature (20°C). Addressing these limitations in future studies will be important.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitation of the sample size in this preliminary study, it can be stated that room temperature storage of salivary samples for a few hours may not affect the overall microbiota structure, while there are some changes in specific bacterial taxa.
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Figs. 5A–C

Heat maps of the fold changes from 0 to 3 or 0 to 6 h in sample series 1 (A), 2 (B) and 3 (C). The heat maps illustrate the microbes with the highest relative abundance (> 0.5% with respect to the total number of sequences) using a binary logarithmic color scale.
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